

## (2) Refurbished/New Ultrasound Machine for Medical Imaging Student Classroom

## Vendor Ranking Form

Criteria For Ranking	Points	Vendor No. 1	Vendor No. 2	Vendor No. 3	Vendor No. 4
1) Financial – Sixty percent of the total evaluation shall be based on points assigned according to the methodology described under CALCULATING THE LOW BID clause above.	1-60	59	N/A	60	60
2) Technology and Innovation being offered with equipment – Twenty percent of the total evaluation score shall be based on the panel's review of the Ultrasound machine being offered as it relates to the various applications, design features, advanced performance options and ease of use	1-20	20	N/A	18	20
3) Equipment – Twenty percent of the total evaluation score shall be based on the panel's review of the age, performance history and overall condition of equipment to insure that the general quality and condition will meet the Colleges' expectations while performing in a training classroom environment.	1-20	10	N/A	18	18
<b>TOTAL</b>	100	89	N/A	96	98

Vendor 1: National Ultrasound Inc.

Name: Dianna Cecala, Procurement Manager

Vendor 2: Advanced Ultrasound Electronics – Determined to be Non-Responsive

Date: August 12, 2015

Vendor 3: GE Healthcare

Vendor 4: Toshiba